## Case 1:22-cr-00149-JLT Document 43 Filed 03/14/24 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401	
2		
3		
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Frescipilar (559) 407-4000	
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7	Officed States of Afficinea	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00149-JLT-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER
13	v.	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
14	JOSHYMAR ESTRADA,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on March 20, 2024.
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to vacate the status conference and set a jury	
22	trial date of September 10, 2024, and to exclude time between March 20, 2024, and September 10, 2024	
23	under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case has	
26	been provided. The government is aware of its ongoing discovery obligations.	
27	b) The government provided	defendant with a plea agreement and counsel for
28	defendant has been engaged in discussions with the government regarding a potential resolution.	

The parties believe a potential resolution can be made in this matter.

- c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to confer with his client about a possible resolution, to review discovery, conduct any additional investigation necessary as a result of the plea offer, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 20, 2024 to September 10, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## Case 1:22-cr-00149-JLT Document 43 Filed 03/14/24 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
3	must commence.	
4		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6	Dated: March 14, 2024 PHILLIP A. TALBERT	
7	United States Attorney	
8	/s/ STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN	
9	STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney	
10	/s/ YAN SHRAYBERMAN	
11	Dated: March 14, 2024  YAN SHRAYBERMAN	
12	Counsel for Defendant	
13	JOSHYMAR ESTRADA	
14		
15		
16	ORDER	
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	DATED: 3/14/2024 Sheila K. Oberto	
20	Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
21	United States Magistrate Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		